arXiv:1303.3446v1 [math.DG] 14 Mar 2013

Rotational symmetry of non negatively curved expanding gradient Ricci soliton

Alix Deruelle

Abstract

Let $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$, $n \geq 3$, be an expanding gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature whose asymptotic cone is isometric to $C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(c))$ where $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(c)$ is the standard $(n-1)$-sphere of curvature $1/c^2$, with $c \in (0,1)$. We prove that if the convergence to the asymptotic cone is smooth, $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ is rotationally symmetric. This is the expanding analogue of the Perelman conjecture on the Bryant soliton and this work is based on the proof by Brendle [Bre12]. This has also been proved recently by Chodosh [Cho13].

1 Introduction

An **expanding gradient Ricci soliton** (EGS for short) is a triplet $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ where (M^n, g) is a Riemannian manifold and f is a smooth function on M^n satisfying

$$\mathrm{Ric} + \frac{g}{2} = \mathrm{Hess}(f). \tag{1}$$

Remark 1.1. *Such a structure normalizes the metric and define the potential function f up to an additive constant.*

Such a soliton is said **complete** if (M^n, g) and the vector field ∇f are complete. By [Zha08], the completeness of (M^n, g) implies the completeness of ∇f. In this case, we can turn this structure into a solution to the Ricci flow defined on $(-1, +\infty)$ by

$$g(\tau) = (1+\tau)\phi_\tau^* g,$$

where $(\phi_\tau)_\tau$ is the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by the vector field $\nabla(-f)/(1+\tau)$. This solution is Type III, i.e. there exists a nonnegative constant C such that for any $\tau \in (-1, +\infty)$, $(1+\tau)\sup_{M^n} |\mathrm{Rm}(g(\tau))| \leq C$ if the curvature is bounded on the manifold M^n. According to Schulze and Simon [SS10], EGS naturally arise as blow-up of non compact non collapsed Type III solutions with non negative curvature operator. More precisely, they proved the following :

Theorem 1.2 (Schulze-Simon)**.** *Let (M^n, g) be a complete non compact Riemannian manifold (with bounded curvature) such that*

$$\mathrm{Rm} \geq 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{AVR}(g) := \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}\, B(p,r)}{r^n} > 0.$$

Let $(r_k)_k$ be a sequence of positive real numbers tending to $+\infty$ and let $p \in M^n$.
Then :
(i) There exists a Type III solution $(M, g(t))_{t\in[0,+\infty)}$ to the Ricci flow with $g(0) = g$.
(ii) Let $g_k(t) := r_k^{-2} g(r_k^2 t)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be the solutions to the Ricci flow with initial condition $r_k^{-2} g$. The sequence of pointed manifolds $(M^n, g_k(t), p)_k$ Cheeger-Gromov subconverges to an EGS $(M_\infty, g_\infty(t), p_\infty)_{t\in(0,+\infty)}$.
(iii) $(M_\infty, g_\infty(t), p_\infty)$ Gromov-Hausdorff converges to the asymptotic cone $(C_\infty(M^n), d_\infty, o)$ of (M^n, g) as t tends to 0.
(iv) Finally, $C_\infty(M^n)$ is homeomorphic to M_∞.

Therefore, studying the asymptotic geometry of non compact non collapsed Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative curvature operator reduces to the classification of (the asymptotic cones of) non negatively curved EGS.

Now a few examples. As we are mainly interested in non negatively curved EGS, the hyperbolic case (constant potential function) is discarded. A fundamental example is the Gaussian soliton $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathrm{eucl}, |x|^2/4)$. In dimension 2, any EGS with nonnegative curvature is rotationally symmetric since $J(\nabla f)$ is a Killing field, where J is the natural almost complex structure associated to any surface. Therefore, the soliton equation reduces to an ODE, it is shown in [Chap. 4, [CLN06]] that there is a unique one-parameter family g_c of such EGS, each metric g_c being asymptotic to a euclidean cone of angle $c \in (0,1]$. A similar construction in higher dimensions is due to H-D. Cao [Cao97]. He built (Kählerian) EGS on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with positive sectional curvature. As previously, it consists in a one-parameter family of rotationally symmetric metrics g_c with $c \in (0,1)$, each metric g_c being smoothly asymptotic to the metric cone

$$(C(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}), dr^2 + (cr)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}}),$$

on the euclidean sphere of radius c. Note that both the convergence to the asymptotic cone and the asymptotic cone itself are smooth. In particular, the asymptotic curvature ratios $\mathrm{A}^k(g_c)$ of order k are finite for any nonnegative integer k where

$$\mathrm{A}^k(g) := \limsup_{x \to +\infty} r_p(x)^{2+k} |\nabla^k \mathrm{Rm}(g)|,$$

for a non compact Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) and $p \in M^n$. This definition makes sense since the limit does not depend on the base point p, moreover these invariants are scale invariant. Bryant, in unpublished notes, has also built rotational symmetric EGS on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 3$ with positive curvature [Section 5, Chap. 1, [CCG$^+$07]]. This construction gives the whole classification of non negatively curved rotationally symmetric EGS.

We now ask for the converse, namely, is a non negatively curved EGS with such an asymptotic geometry rotationally symmetric ? The main result of this paper answers positively :

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ *be a complete EGS with nonnegative sectional curvature, i.e.* $K \geq 0$. *Assume the asymptotic cone of* (M^n, g) *is isometric to* $(C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), dt^2 + (ct)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$, *with angle* $c \in (0, 1]$ *and assume the convergence to the asymptotic cone is smooth, i.e.* $\mathrm{A}^k(g) < +\infty$ *for any* k. *Then* (M^n, g) *is rotationally symmetric.*

Remark 1.4. *The euclidean cone* $(C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, dr^2 + (cr)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}))$ *has nonnegative sectional curvature if and only if* $c \in (0, 1]$, *the case* $c = 1$ *corresponds to the euclidean space* $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathrm{eucl})$.

Remark 1.5. *As the method of the proof of theorem 1.3 is quite robust, there should have a Kähler analogue of theorem 1.3. As the author is not an expert in this field, we leave the details of a potential proof to the interested reader.*

The proof of theorem 1.3 is based on [CD11] and [Bre12].

We investigated with C-W. Chen in [CD11] the asymptotic geometry of EGS with finite asymptotic curvature ratio, namely $\mathrm{A}(g) = \mathrm{A}^0(g) < +\infty$. We proved that the asymptotic cones were metric cones (cf. theorem 1.6). Moreover, in case of non negative Ricci curvature, the potential function is a proper strictly convex function equivalent to $r_p(\cdot)^2/4$ (cf. lemma 2.2 in [CD11]). In particular, its levels are diffeomorphic to a $(n-1)$-sphere and M^n is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$. To sum it up, one has

Theorem 1.6. *[Chen-Deruelle] Let* $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$, $n \geq 3$, *be a complete EGS with* $\mathrm{A}^k(g) < +\infty$ *for any* k *and* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq 0$.

For $p \in M^n$, $(M^n, t^{-2}g, p)_t$ *Gromov-Hausdorff converges to a metric cone* $(C(S_\infty), d_\infty, x_\infty)$ *over a compact length space* S_∞.

Moreover,

1) $C(S_\infty) \setminus \{x_\infty\}$ *is a smooth manifold with a* C^∞ *metric* g_∞ *compatible with* d_∞ *and the convergence is* C^∞ *outside the apex* x_∞.

2) (S_∞, g_{S_∞}) *where* g_{S_∞} *is the metric induced by* g_∞ *on* S_∞, *is the* C^∞ *limit of the rescaled levels of the potential function* f, $(M_{t^2/4}, t^{-2} g_{t^2/4})$ *where* $g_{t^2/4}$ *is the metric induced by* g *on* $f^{-1}(t^2/4) =: M_{t^2/4}$.

3) For $t > \min_{M^n} f$, $f^{-1}(t)$ *is diffeomorphic to* $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ *and so is* S_∞.

Remark 1.7. *Theorem 1.6 was initially stated in [CD11] under the sole assumption* $\mathrm{A}^0(g) < +\infty$. *Therefore, the convergence to the asymptotic cone was only* $C^{1,\alpha}$ *for any* $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Nonetheless, the core of the proof of theorem 1.3 is essentially based on the proof of the Perelman conjecture on the Bryant soliton by Brendle [Bre12].

The main difficulty to apply Brendle's argument is the lack of Shi's estimate for Type III solutions. Indeed, these estimates automatically hold for ancient solutions (e.g. stable or shrinking gradient Ricci solitons) [Chap. 6, [CLN06]]. This is the reason why we impose the finiteness of asymptotic curvature ratios of any orders. From now on, we consider an EGS $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ with $\mathrm{A}^k(g) < +\infty$ for any $k \geq 0$. We will assume non negative sectional curvature and precise the assumption on the asymptotic cone when needed. Following the notations of [Bre12], we define $X := \nabla f$. Even if we mimic Brendle's proof, our proof substantially differs in the interpolation of almost-Killing vector fields (section 5) and the analysis of Lichnerowicz equation (section 6). Finally, our approach is purely static (i.e. it does not use the Ricci flow except for a priori estimates in section 6).

Now, we give the main steps of the proof of theorem 1.3 :

1) It suffices to build $n(n-1)/2$ independent Killing vector fields orthogonal to X. Generally speaking, a Killing field U satisfies $\Delta U + \mathrm{Ric}(U) = 0$. In the case of an EGS, this gives $\Delta U + \nabla_U X - U/2 = 0$. For technical reasons, it is easier to estimate the operator $\Phi : U \to \Delta U + \nabla_X U - U/2$.

Using the assumption on the asymptotic cone, the three first sections are devoted to build $n(n-1)/2$ vector fields $(U_i)_i$ which are almost Killing (proposition 4.4). In particular, it is shown that the vector fields U_i grow linearly and the vector fields $\Phi(U_i)$ decay sufficiently fast.

2) Section 5 only uses the smooth convergence to the asymptotic cone. Theorem 5.3 establishes the surjectivity of Φ in the following sense : we prove the existence of vector fields V_i with controlled growth $O(t^\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon > 0$ small such that $\Phi(V_i) = \Phi(U_i)$ for any i. In particular, this ensures that vector fields $U_i - V_i$ are not trivial.

3) Section 6 studies the rigidity of Lichnerowicz equation. In fact, the vector fields $W_i := V_i - U_i$ built previously lie in $\ker \Phi$. Now, $\ker \Phi$ is not reduced to Killing fields since $X \in \ker \Phi$. Theorem 6.4 shows that there exist real numbers λ_i such that the vector fields $W_i - \lambda_i X$ are Killing. Its proof consists in noting that the symmetric 2-tensors $\mathscr{L}_{W_i}(g) =: h_i$ satisfy Lichnerowicz equation $h_i - \mathscr{L}_X(h_i) = \Delta_L(h_i)$ where Δ_L is the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. This equation is exactly the static version of the heat equation for symmetric 2-tensors : if we define $h_i(\tau) := (1+\tau)\phi_\tau^* h_i$ where $(\phi_\tau)_\tau$ is the flow generated by $-X/(1+\tau)$ then $\partial_\tau h_i = \Delta_{L,g(\tau)} h_i$ where $g(\tau) = (1+\tau)\phi_\tau^* g$ is the associated Ricci flow to this EGS. Theorem 6.4 shows that the only solution with linear growth is, up to a dilation, $\mathscr{L}_X(g)$.

4) Section 7 concludes the proof of theorem 1.3.

2 Covariant derivative estimates

We recall first some basic and well-known curvature identities that hold on an EGS [PW10]. As in [Bre12], $X := \nabla f$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ be an EGS. Then :*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta f = R + \frac{n}{2}, && (2)\\
&\nabla R + 2\operatorname{Ric}(X) = 0, && (3)\\
&|X|^2 + R = f, && (4)\\
&\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Rm}(g)(Y,Z,T) = \operatorname{Rm}(g)(Y,Z,X,T), && (5)\\
&-\nabla_X \operatorname{Rm} = \Delta\operatorname{Rm} + \operatorname{Rm} * \operatorname{Rm}, && (6)
\end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields Y, Z, T where, if A and B are two tensors, $A * B$ denotes any linear combination of contractions of the tensorial product of A and B.

With the notations of theorem 1.6, this technical section estimates the covariant derivatives of the curvature operators of the metrics $(g_t)_t$ in terms of the invariants $\mathrm{A}^k(g)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ be an EGS with $\mathrm{A}^k(g) < +\infty$ for any integer $k \geq 0$. Then, for any $k > 0$,*

$$\limsup_{t\to+\infty} \sup_{M_t} f^{\frac{k+2}{2}} |\nabla^{t,k} \operatorname{Rm}(g_t)| \leq c(n,k)(\mathrm{A}^k(g) + \mathrm{A}^{k-1}(g)),$$

where ∇^t is the induced connexion of (M_t, g_t).

Proof. By Gauss equations, one has,

$$\nabla^{t,k}\operatorname{Rm}(g_t) = \nabla^{t,k}\operatorname{Rm}(g) + \nabla^{t,k}\det h_t,$$

where $\det h_t(X,Y,Z,T) := h_t(X,T)h_t(Y,Z) - h_t(X,Z)h_t(Y,T)$.

Claim 1. *For $k > 0$,*

$$t^{\frac{k+2}{2}} \sup_{M_t} |\nabla^{t,k}\det h_t| = O(t^{-1}).$$

Proof. By definition, one has,

$$\det h_t = \frac{1}{|X|^2}\left(\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{g}{2}\right) * \left(\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{g}{2}\right).$$

Consequently, by 4 of lemma 2.1,

$$\nabla^t \det h_t = \frac{1}{|X|^2}(\nabla^t \operatorname{Ric}) * \left(\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{g}{2}\right) + \frac{\nabla^t R}{|X|^4}\left(\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{g}{2}\right)^{*2}.$$

Hence the result for $k = 1$. In the general case, we observe that,

$$\nabla^{t,k}\left(\frac{1}{|X|^2}\left(\operatorname{Ric}+\frac{g}{2}\right)^{*2}\right) = \sum_{l=0}^{k}\nabla^{t,l}\left(\frac{1}{|X|^2}\right) * \nabla^{t,k-l}\left(\operatorname{Ric}+\frac{g}{2}\right)^{*2}.$$

Now,

$$\nabla^{t,l}\left(\frac{1}{|X|^2}\right) = O(t^{-\frac{l+6}{2}}), \quad \text{if } l > 0,$$
$$\nabla^{t,k-l}\left(\operatorname{Ric}+\frac{g}{2}\right)^{*2} = O(t^{-\frac{k-l+2}{2}}), \quad \text{if } k-l > 0.$$

The claim is proved.

□

Claim 2.

$$t^{\frac{k+2}{2}}\sup_{M_t}|\nabla^{t,k}\operatorname{Rm}(g)| \leq \sup_{M_t}(t^{\frac{k+2}{2}}|\nabla^{k}\operatorname{Rm}(g)| + t^{\frac{k-1+2}{2}}|\nabla^{k-1}\operatorname{Rm}(g)|),$$

as t tends to $+\infty$.

Proof. For $k = 1$, one can write,

$$\nabla^{t}\operatorname{Rm}(g) = \nabla\operatorname{Rm}(g) + (\nabla^{t} - \nabla)\operatorname{Rm}(g) = \nabla\operatorname{Rm}(g) + \operatorname{Rm}(g) * h_t.$$

By the decay of the second fundamental form and by using the assumption $\mathrm{A}^1(g) < +\infty$, we get the case $k = 1$. In the general case,

$$\nabla^{t,k}\operatorname{Rm}(g) = \nabla^{k}\operatorname{Rm}(g) + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\nabla^{l}\operatorname{Rm}(g) * \nabla^{k-1-l}h_t.$$

One estimates the second fundamental form as follows :

$$\nabla^{t,k}h_t = O(t^{-\frac{k+3}{2}}), \quad \text{if } k > 0.$$

Hence the claim. □

The two previous claims suffice to prove the proposition.

□

3 Asymptotic geometry of (M^n, g)

The assumption made on the asymptotic cone says that the asymptotic geometry is close to the cone $(C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), dt^2 + (ct)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$ where $c^{-2} = 1 + \mathrm{A}(g)$ in the sense of smooth Cheeger-Gromov convergence. The aim of this section is to quantify this convergence. This approach was already used in [BKN89] which is the cornerstone of the study of asymptotically locally euclidean manifolds (ALE).

We begin with an easy but fundamental lemma.

Lemma 3.1. $\sup_{M_t} |\operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{n})| = O(t^{-2})$*, as* t *tends to* $+\infty$*, where* $\mathbf{n} := \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$*.*

Proof. By (3), one has

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{n}) = -\frac{\nabla R}{2|X|}.$$

We conclude by using the assumption $\mathrm{A}^1(g) < +\infty$.

$\square$

Remark 3.2. *This is consistent with the conical geometry of such an EGS. Indeed, in the case of a metric cone, radial curvatures vanish. In particular, they decay faster than spherical curvatures which decay quadratically.*

Let's estimate the intrinsic curvature of the levels of f.

Proposition 3.3.

$$\sup_{M_{t^2/4}} |\operatorname{Rm}(t^{-2}g_{t^2/4}) - (1 + \mathrm{A}(g)) \operatorname{Id}_{\Lambda^2 TM_{t^2/4}}| = O(t^{-2}).$$

Proof. By Gauss equations : $\operatorname{Rm}(t^{-2}g_{t^2/4}) = t^2 \operatorname{Rm}(g)|_{M_{t^2/4}} + t^2 \det h_{t^2/4}$. Consequently, by (4),

$$t^2 \det h_{t^2/4} = \frac{t^2}{|X|^2}|_{M_{t^2/4}} \det\left(\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{g}{2}\right) = \operatorname{Id}_{\Lambda^2 TM_{t^2/4}} + O(t^{-2}).$$

By using the decay of covariant derivatives up to the second order, the evolution equation (6) along the Ricci flow and (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t(t \operatorname{Rm}(g))|_{M_t} &= \operatorname{Rm}(g) + t\nabla_{X/|X|^2} \operatorname{Rm}(g)|_{M_t} \\
&= \operatorname{Rm}(g) + \frac{t}{|X|^2}\nabla_X \operatorname{Rm}(g) \\
&= -\Delta \operatorname{Rm}(g) + \operatorname{Rm}(g) * \operatorname{Rm}(g) + \frac{t - |X|^2}{|X|^2}\nabla_X \operatorname{Rm}(g)|_{M_t} \\
&= O(t^{-2}) + \frac{R}{|X|^2}\nabla_X \operatorname{Rm}(g) \\
&= O(t^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating along the spatial flow gives

$$t^2 \operatorname{Rm}(g)|_{M_{t^2/4}} = \mathrm{A}(g) \operatorname{Id}_{\Lambda TM_{t^2/4}} + O(t^{-2}).$$

These two estimates suffice to prove the proposition.

$\square$

We are in a position to state the main proposition of this section :

Proposition 3.4. *For any t large enough, there exists a metric g_∞ on $M_{t^2/4}$ with constant sectional curvature $1+\mathrm{A}(g)$ such that*

$$\|t^{-2}g_{t^2/4}-g_\infty\|_{C^k(M_{t^2/4},g_\infty)}=O(t^{-2+\epsilon}),$$

for any $\epsilon>0$ small enough.

Proof. By assumption, $(M_{t^2/4},t^{-2}g_{t^2/4})$ smoothly converges to $(\mathbb{S}^{n-1},c^2g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}=:g_\infty)$, where $c^{-2}=1+\mathrm{A}(g)$ and proposition 3.3 shows that

$$|K_{t^{-2}g_{t^2/4}}-(1+\mathrm{A}(g))|=O(t^{-2}).$$

Invoking classical comparison theorems (Chap. 6, [Pet06]) and using polar coordinates around any point of $M_{t^2/4}$, one gets for $r\in(0,\pi/(\sqrt{1+\mathrm{A}(g)}))$,

$$\frac{\sin(r\sqrt{1+\mathrm{A}(g)+\epsilon(t)})}{\sqrt{1+\mathrm{A}(g)+\epsilon(t)}}g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\leq t^{-2}g_{t^2/4}\leq\frac{\sin(r\sqrt{1+\mathrm{A}(g)-\epsilon(t)})}{\sqrt{1+\mathrm{A}(g)-\epsilon(t)}}g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}},$$

where $\epsilon(t)=O(t^{-2})$. Therefore,

$$\|t^{-2}g_{t^2/4}-g_\infty\|_{C^0(M_{t^2/4},g_\infty)}=O(t^{-2}).$$

Besides, covariant derivatives of the curvature of the metrics $t^{-2}g_{t^2/4}$ are uniformly bounded by proposition 2.2. We now apply Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequalities due to Aubin [Aub81] to the curvature of the metrics $t^{-2}g_{t^2/4}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla^{t,j}\operatorname{Rm}(t^{-2}g_{t^2/4})\|_\infty\\&\leq C\|\nabla^{t,m}\operatorname{Rm}(t^{-2}g_{t^2/4})\|_r^a\|\operatorname{Rm}(t^{-2}g_{t^2/4})-(1+\mathrm{A}(g))\operatorname{Id}_{\Lambda^2TM_{t^2/4}}\|_q^{1-a},\end{aligned}$$

where $C=C(n,m,j,q,r,a,C_S)$, C_S being the Sobolev constant of $(\mathbb{S}^{n-1},c^2g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$ and

$$\frac{j}{n}+a\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{m}{n}\right)+\frac{1-a}{q}=0\quad;\quad q\geq1,\quad r\leq\infty,\quad\frac{j}{m}\leq a\leq1.$$

Hence the following estimate :

$$\sup_{M_{t^2/4}}|\nabla^{t,k}\operatorname{Rm}(t^{-2}g_{t^2/4})|=O(t^{-2+\epsilon}),$$

for any $\epsilon\in(0,2)$ and any integer $k\geq1$. From these estimates, the assertion follows.

□

4 Existence of almost-Killing fields at infinity

By the previous section, there exists $n(n-1)/2$ vector fields U_i on $M_{t_m^2/4}$ where $t_m := 2^m$ given by the metric g_∞, for any m, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(i) \quad \sup_{M_{t_m^2/4}} |\nabla^{t,k} U_i^m| = O(t_m^{1-k}), \quad \forall k \geq 0,\\
&(ii) \quad |\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)|_{M_{t_m^2/4}}| = O(t_m^{-1+\epsilon}), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,\\
&(iii) \quad \int_{M_{t_m^2/4}} \langle U_i^m, U_j^m \rangle d\mu_{t_m^2/4} = n \operatorname{AVR}(g) t_m^{n+1} \delta_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. *All estimates are uniform in $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, the parameter ϵ is uniform and can be chosen very small at the beginning.*

Define $\Omega_m := M_{t_m^2/4, t_m^2} = f^{-1}([t_m^2/4, t_m^2])$ and extend these vector fields U_i^m to Ω_m such that $[X, U_i^m] = 0$.

Proposition 4.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(i) \quad \sup_{\Omega_m} |\nabla^k U_i^m| = O(t_m^{1-k}), \quad \forall k \geq 0,\\
&(ii) \quad \sup_{\Omega_m} |\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)| = O(t_m^{-1+\epsilon}), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,\\
&(iii) \quad \sup_{\Omega_m} |\langle U_i^m, X \rangle| = O(t_m^{-1}),\\
&(iv) \quad \sup_{\Omega_m} |\Delta U_i^m + \nabla_X U_i^m - U_i^m/2| = O(t_m^{-2+\epsilon}), \qquad \forall \epsilon > 0,\\
&(v) \quad \int_{M_t} \langle U_i^m, U_j^m \rangle d\mu_t = n \operatorname{AVR}(g) t^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \delta_{ij} + O(t^{\frac{n-1}{2}}), \quad \forall t \in [t_m^2/4, t_m^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3. *In general, a Killing vector field U on a Riemannian manifold satisfies $\Delta U + \operatorname{Ric}(U) = 0$. In our case, $\operatorname{Ric} = \nabla X - g/2$ and by construction $[U_i^m, X] = 0$, therefore, $\Delta U_i^m + \operatorname{Ric}(U_i^m) = \Delta U_i^m + \nabla_X U_i^m - U_i^m/2$. In a way, (iv) measures how far the vector fields U_i^m are from being Killing.*

Proof. (i) First of all, $\sup_{M_{t_m^2/4}} |\nabla^k U_i^m| = O(t_m^{1-k})$.

Indeed, since $[U_i^m, X] = 0$,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{n}} U_i^m = \frac{1}{|X|} \nabla_{U_i^m} X = \frac{1}{|X|} (\operatorname{Ric}(U_i^m) + U_i^m/2) = O(1).$$

As in the proof of proposition 2.2, we prove by induction that for any k,

$$\sup_{M_{t_m^2/4}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{n}}^k U_i^m| = O(t_m^{1-k}).$$

Using the fact that $\nabla = \nabla^t - h_t \mathbf{n}$, we conclude this first estimate. To prove (i), for $k = 0$, note that,

$$\begin{aligned}
X \cdot |U_i^m|^2 &= 2\langle \nabla_X U_i^m, U_i^m \rangle = 2\langle \nabla_{U_i^m} X, U_i^m \rangle\\
&= \langle 2 \operatorname{Ric}(U_i^m) + U_i^m, U_i^m \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

So that,

$$\frac{X}{|X|^2} \cdot |U_i^m| \leq \frac{2|\operatorname{Ric}|+1}{|X|^2}|U_i^m|.$$

Integrating this inequality along the flow generated by the vector field $X/|X|^2$ and using the decay of the curvature gives the result for $k=0$.

For $k=1$, using the soliton equation in the last line gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_X(\nabla U_i^m)(Y) &= \nabla_X(\nabla_Y U_i^m) - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} U_i^m \\
&= \nabla_Y(\nabla_X U_i^m) + \operatorname{Rm}(X,Y)U_i^m - \nabla_{\nabla_Y X} U_i^m \\
&= \nabla_Y(\nabla_{U_i^m} X) + \operatorname{Rm}(X,Y)U_i^m - \nabla_{\nabla_Y X} U_i^m \\
&= \nabla_Y(\operatorname{Ric}(U_i^m)) + \operatorname{Rm}(X,Y)U_i^m - \nabla_{\operatorname{Ric}(Y)} U_i^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$X \cdot |\nabla U_i^m| \leq |\nabla_X \nabla U_i^m|.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{X}{|X|^2} \cdot |\nabla U_i^m| \leq \frac{|\operatorname{Ric}|}{|X|^2}|\nabla U_i^m| + O(t^{-1}), \quad \text{on } M_t.$$

As before, integrating this inequality along the spatial flow gives the result for $k=1$. The proof of (i) for $k \geq 2$ is analogous.

(ii) First, we check the estimate for $\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)$ on $M_{t_m^2/4}$. Indeed, if V is orthogonal to X,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)(X,V) &= \langle \nabla_X U_i^m, V\rangle + \langle \nabla_V U_i^m, X\rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla_{U_i^m} X, V\rangle - \langle U_i^m, \nabla_V X\rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

And,

$$\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)(X,X) = 2\langle \nabla_X U_i^m, X\rangle = 2\operatorname{Ric}(U_i^m, X).$$

So, $\sup_{M_{t_m^2/4}} |\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n})| = O(t_m^{-4})$, by lemma 3.1.

We extend this estimate to Ω_m. Since $[U_i^m, X] = 0$, note that,

$$\left[\mathscr{L}_X, \mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}\right](g) = \mathscr{L}_{[X,U_i^m]}(g) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Now, $\mathscr{L}_X(T) = \nabla_X T + T + \operatorname{Ric} \otimes T + T \otimes \operatorname{Ric}$, for any symmetric 2-tensor T. Therefore, if $T := \mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)$, $\nabla_X \mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g) = 2\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(\operatorname{Ric}) - 2\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g) \otimes \operatorname{Ric}$. Hence the estimate by using (7),

$$X \cdot |\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)| \leq |\nabla_X \mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)| \leq 2|\operatorname{Ric}||\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)| + O(t^{-1}).$$

As previously, this gives the result by integrating this inequality.

(iii) Using lemma 3.1 gives :

$$\begin{aligned}
X\cdot\langle U_i^m,\mathbf{n}\rangle &= \langle\nabla_X U_i^m,\mathbf{n}\rangle+\frac{\langle U_i^m,\nabla_X X\rangle}{|X|}+X\cdot\frac{1}{|X|}\langle U_i^m,X\rangle\\
&= 2\operatorname{Ric}(U_i^m,\mathbf{n})+\langle U_i^m,\mathbf{n}\rangle-\left(\operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n})+\frac{1}{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{n},U_i^m\rangle\\
&= \frac{\langle U_i^m,\mathbf{n}\rangle}{2}+O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}),\quad \text{on } M_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result by integrating along the spatial flow.

(iv) Note first that

$$\Delta U_i^m+\nabla_X U_i^m-U_i^m/2=\Delta U_i^m+\nabla_{U_i^m}X-U_i^m/2=\Delta U_i^m+\operatorname{Ric}(U_i^m).$$

Now, $\Delta U_i^m+\operatorname{Ric}(U_i^m)=\operatorname{div}(\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g))-\nabla(\operatorname{tr}(\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)))/2$.

By (ii), $\sup_{\Omega_m}|\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)|=O(t_m^{-1+\epsilon})$ and by (i), $\sup_{\Omega_m}|\nabla^k\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)|=O(t_m^{-k})$. Via Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequalities used in the proof of proposition 3.4, one has $\sup_{\Omega_m}|\nabla\mathscr{L}_{U_i^m}(g)|=O(t_m^{-2+\epsilon})$, for any $\epsilon>0$ small enough. The result follows by the previous remark.

(v) Idem :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M_t}\langle U_i^m,U_j^m\rangle d\mu_t &= \int_{M_t}\langle U_i^m,U_j^m\rangle\frac{H_t}{|X|}d\mu_t\\
&\quad+\int_{M_t}\frac{1}{|X|^2}(\langle\nabla_X U_i^m,U_j^m\rangle+\langle U_i^m,\nabla_X U_j^m\rangle)d\mu_t\\
&= \int_{M_t}\langle U_i^m,U_j^m\rangle\frac{H_t}{|X|}d\mu_t+2\int_{M_t}\frac{1}{|X|^2}\langle\nabla_{U_i^m}X,U_j^m\rangle d\mu_t\\
&= \frac{n+1}{2t}\int_{M_t}\langle U_i^m,U_j^m\rangle d\mu_t+O\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}(M_t)}{t}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$H_t=\frac{2(R-\operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}))+n-1}{2|X|}=\frac{n-1}{2|X|}+O(t^{-3/2}).$$

As $\operatorname{Vol}(M_t)=O(t^{(n-1)/2})$, the estimate follows.

□

The next proposition ensures the existence of global vector fields satisfying the same asymptotic properties as the vector fields U_i^m do. Its proof is word for word the proof of proposition 3.2 of [Bre12].

Proposition 4.4. *There exists $n(n-1)/2$ vector fields $(U_i)_i$ defined on (M^n,g) such that for any i,*

$$(i) \quad \sup_{M^n} f^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} |\mathscr{L}_{U_i}(g)| < +\infty, \tag{8}$$

$$(ii) \quad \sup_{M_{\leq t^2/4}} |U_i| = O(t), \tag{9}$$

$$(iii) \quad \sup_{M^n} f^{1-\epsilon/2} |\Delta U_i + \nabla_X U_i - U_i/2| < +\infty, \tag{10}$$

$$(iv) \quad \int_{M_{t^2/4}} \langle U_i^m, U_j^m \rangle d\mu_{t^2/4} = n\,\mathrm{AVR}(g) t^{n+1} \delta_{ij} + O(t^{n-1}), \tag{11}$$

where $M_{\leq t^2/4} := f^{-1}((-\infty, t^2/4])$.

5 Interpolation of almost-Killing vector fields

We begin with a priori estimates of the norm of a vector field satisfying $\Delta V + \nabla_X V - V/2 = Q$ where Q is a vector field decaying sufficiently fast.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ *be a complete EGS such that* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq 0$. *Let* V *be a vector field satisfying*

$$\Delta V + \nabla_X V - \frac{V}{2} = Q,$$

where Q *is a vector field verifying* $\sup_{M^n} f^{1-\epsilon/2}|Q| < +\infty$. *Then,*

$$\sup_{M_{\leq t^2/4}} \left\{ |V| + A f^{\epsilon/2} \right\} \leq \sup_{M_{t^2/4}} \left\{ |V| + A t^{\epsilon} \right\},$$

where A *is a uniform constant.*

Proof. First of all,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(|V|^2) &= 2(\langle \Delta V, V \rangle + |\nabla V|^2) \\ &= 2(\langle Q, V \rangle + |V|^2/2 - \langle \nabla_X V, V \rangle + |\nabla V|^2) \\ &\geq |V|^2 - 2|Q||V| - X \cdot |V|^2 + 2|\nabla |V||^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the classical Kato inequality in the last line.

Now, $\Delta(|V|^2) = 2|V|\Delta|V| + 2|\nabla|V||^2$. Consequently,

$$\Delta(|V|) + \langle X, \nabla |V| \rangle \geq |V|/2 - |Q|,$$

where V does not vanish. Besides, if α is a real number to be chosen later, by using (2) and (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f^{\alpha} + \langle \nabla f^{\alpha}, X \rangle &= \alpha(\alpha-1) f^{\alpha-2}|X|^2 + \alpha f^{\alpha-1}\Delta f + \alpha |X|^2 f^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \alpha(\alpha-1) f^{\alpha-2}|X|^2 + \alpha f^{\alpha-1}(f + n/2). \end{aligned}$$

Let A be a positive real number to be chosen and $\alpha := \epsilon/2 > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(|V| + Af^{\epsilon/2}) + \langle \nabla(|V| + Af^{\epsilon/2}), X\rangle \quad &\geq \quad \frac{|V|}{2} + \frac{A\epsilon}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) f^{-1+\epsilon/2} - |Q| \\ &\quad + \frac{A\epsilon}{2} f^{\epsilon/2} + \frac{A\epsilon}{2}\left(1 - \epsilon/2\right) f^{\epsilon/2-2} R \\ &> \quad |V|/2 \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where A is uniform in t such that

$$|Q| \leq \frac{\epsilon A}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) f^{-1+\epsilon/2}.$$

We conclude by invoking the maximum principle.

$\square$

Remark 5.2. *Proposition 5.1 holds as long as the hypersurfaces* M_t *are well defined (if* $\mathrm{A}(g) < +\infty$*, for instance) and that the scalar curvature is positive.*

Theorem 5.3. *Let* $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ *be a complete EGS such that* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq 0$ *and* $\mathrm{A}^k(g) < +\infty$*, for any* $k \geq 0$*. Let* Q *be a vector field such that* $\sup_{M^n} f^{1-\epsilon/2}|Q| < +\infty$*. Then there exists a vector field* V *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta V + \nabla_X V - \frac{V}{2} = Q, \\ &V = O(t^\epsilon) \quad \text{on } M_{\leq t^2/4}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $(t_m)_m$ a sequence of real numbers tending to $+\infty$. Let V^m be a solution of the following Dirichlet problem :

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta V^m + \nabla_X V^m - \frac{V^m}{2} = Q, \quad \text{on } M_{\leq t_m^2/4}, \\ &V^m = 0 \quad \text{on } M_{t_m^2/4}.\end{aligned}$$

It is useful to estimate the growth of the vector fields V^m to guarantee the existence of a convergent subsequence.

Claim 3. *For* $\tau \in (0,1)$ *small enough, there exists* t_0 *and* m_0 *such that*

$$2\sup_{M_{\tau t^2/4}} (\tau t)^{-\epsilon}|V^m| \leq \sup_{M_{t^2/4}} t^{-\epsilon}|V^m| + 1,$$

for any $m \geq m_0$ *and* $t \in [t_0, t_m]$*.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that there exists a sequence $(r_m)_m$ of real numbers tending to $+\infty$ with $r_m \leq t_m$ such that

$$2\sup_{M_{\tau r_m^2/4}} (\tau r_m)^{-\epsilon}|V^m| \geq \sup_{M_{r_m^2/4}} {r_m}^{-\epsilon}|V^m| + 1. \tag{12}$$

Consider then the vector fields $\bar{V}^m := V^m/(\sup_{M_{r_m^2/4}}|V^m| + r_m^\epsilon)$. Then $\bar{V}^m$ satisfies $\Delta\bar{V}^m + \nabla_X\bar{V}^m - \bar{V}^m/2 = \bar{Q}^m$ on $M_{\leq r_m^2/4}$ where $\bar{Q}^m := Q/(\sup_{M_{r_m^2/4}}|V^m| +$

r_m^ϵ). In particular, by proposition 5.1, $\sup_m \sup_{M_{\leq r_m^2/4}} |\bar{V}^m| < +\infty$ and by (12), $\sup_{M_{\tau r_m^2/4}} |\bar{V}^m| \geq \tau^\epsilon/2$.

We get a contradiction by considering the asymptotic cone of (M^n, g).

Define $g_m := r_m^{-2} g$ and $\tilde{V}^m := r_m \bar{V}^m$. By theorem 1.6, $(M^n, g_m, p)_m$ smoothly converges to a metric cone $C(X_\infty)$ where X_∞ is a $(n-1)$-sphere endowed with a smooth metric. Moreover, by construction,

$$\sup_m \sup_{M_{\leq r_m^2/4}} |\tilde{V}^m|_{g_m} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{M_{\tau r_m^2/4}} |\tilde{V}^m|_{g_m} \geq \frac{\tau^\epsilon}{2}. \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, let Y be a smooth vector field with compact support in $M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m} := M_{\alpha r_m^2/4, \beta r_m^2/4}$ où $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Then, by the co-area formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} X \cdot \langle \bar{V}^m, Y \rangle d\mu &= \int_{\alpha_m}^{\beta_m} \int_{M_s} \frac{X}{|X|} \cdot \langle \bar{V}^m, Y \rangle d\mu_s ds \\
&= \int_{M_{\alpha_m}} \int_{\alpha_m}^{\beta_m} \frac{X}{|X|^2} \cdot \langle \bar{V}^m, Y \rangle (|X| \operatorname{Jac}(\phi_{s-\alpha_m})) ds d\mu_{\alpha_m} \\
&= -\int_{M_{\alpha_m}} \int_{\alpha_m}^{\beta_m} \langle \bar{V}^m, Y \rangle \frac{d}{ds} \cdot (|X| \operatorname{Jac}(\phi_{s-\alpha_m})) ds d\mu_{\alpha_m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds} \cdot (|X| \operatorname{Jac}(\phi_{s-\alpha_m})) &= \operatorname{Jac}(\phi_{s-\alpha_m}) \left(H_s + \frac{d}{ds}|X| \right) \\
&= \operatorname{Jac}(\phi_{s-\alpha_m}) \left(H_s + \frac{1 + 2\operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})}{2|X|} \right) \\
&= \operatorname{Jac}(\phi_{s-\alpha_m}) \left(\frac{2R + n}{2|X|} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} X \cdot \langle \bar{V}^m, Y \rangle d\mu = -\int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} \langle \bar{V}^m, Y \rangle \left(R + \frac{n}{2} \right) d\mu. \tag{14}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} \langle \tilde{V}^m, \Delta_{g_m} Y\rangle_{g_m} d\mu_{g_m} = \int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} \langle \Delta_{g_m}\tilde{V}^m, Y\rangle_{g_m} d\mu_{g_m}\\
&= r_m \int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} \langle \Delta_g \bar{V}^m, Y\rangle_g d\mu_{g_m}\\
&= r_m \int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} \langle \bar{Q}^m + \bar{V}^m/2, Y\rangle_g d\mu_{g_m}\\
&-r_m \int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} X\cdot \langle \bar{V}^m, Y\rangle_g - \langle \bar{V}^m, \nabla_X Y\rangle_g d\mu_{g_m}\\
&= r_m^2 \int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} \langle \tilde{V}^m, (R+n/2)Y\rangle_{g_m} d\mu_{g_m} + r_m^2 \int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} \langle \tilde{Q}^m, Y\rangle_{g_m} d\mu_{g_m}\\
&+r_m^2 \int_{M_{\alpha_m,\beta_m}} \langle \tilde{V}^m, \nabla_X Y + Y/2\rangle_{g_m} d\mu_{g_m},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{Q}^m := r_m \bar{Q}^m$ and where we used (14) in the last equality. Note that $X|M_{rr_m^2/4}$ converge to $r\partial_r/2$ for $r \in (0,1]$. Since the left-hand side in the last identity is bounded and since $\lim_{m\to+\infty} |\tilde{Q}^m|_{g_m} = 0$, we get in the weak sense,

$$\nabla_{\frac{r\partial_r}{2}} V^\infty = \frac{V^\infty}{2},$$

on $C(X_\infty)_{\leq 1}\setminus\{o\}$ where $V^\infty := \lim_{m\to+\infty} \tilde{V}^m$ is a uniform limit on compact of $C(X_\infty)_{\leq 1}\setminus\{o\}$. As V^∞ is continuous, by ([Hör03], Chap. 3, section 1) we claim that V^∞ is a strong solution. In particular,

$$\partial_r \cdot |V^\infty|^2 = \frac{2}{r}|V^\infty|^2.$$

Integrating this differential equality gives $|V^\infty|_r = \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)|V^\infty|_{r_0}$ for $0 < r_0 \leq r \leq 1$. By estimates (13), we conclude on the one hand that $|V^\infty|_\tau \leq C\tau$ where C is a uniform constant in τ and on the other hand, $|V^\infty|_\tau \geq \tau^\epsilon/2$, which is absurd if $\tau \in (0,1)$ is small enough. The claim follows.

□

According to claim 3, one has

$$\sup_m \sup_{t\in[t_0,t_m]} \sup_{M_{t^2/4}} t^{-\epsilon}|V^m| < +\infty.$$

Indeed, by considering a partition of $[t_0^2/4, t_m^2/4]$ by intervals $[\tau^{i+1}t_m^2/4, \tau^i t_m^2/4]$, it suffices to check that $\sup_{m,i}\sup_{M_{\tau^i t_m^2/4}} (\tau^i t_m^2/4)^{-\epsilon}|V^m| < +\infty$. Now, claim 3 applied to the points $\tau^i t_m^2/4$ gives

$$\sup_{M_{\tau^{i+1}t_m^2/4}} (\tau^{i+1}t_m^2/4)^{-\epsilon}|V^m| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\sup_{M_{\tau^i t_m^2/4}} (\tau^i t_m^2/4)^{-\epsilon}|V^m| + 1).$$

By using $\sup_{M_{t_m^2/4}} |V^m| = 0$, we conclude by induction.

Therefore, we can extract a subsequence converging uniformly on compact subsets to a vector field V satisfying $\Delta V + \nabla_X V - V/2 = Q$ and $V = O(t^\epsilon)$.

□

6 Rigidity of the Lichnerowicz equation

6.0.1 A PDE for the Lie derivative of a vector field

Proposition 6.1. *If a vector field V satisfies*

$$\Delta V + \nabla_X V - \frac{V}{2} = 0, \tag{15}$$

then the Lie derivative $\mathscr{L}_V(g)$ satisfies the Lichnerowicz equation

$$\mathscr{L}_V(g) - \mathscr{L}_X(\mathscr{L}_V(g)) = \Delta_L \mathscr{L}_V(g).$$

Proof. Consider the flow $(\phi_t)_t$ generated by the vector field V and the family of metrics $g(t) := \phi_t^* g$. Equation (2.31) of chapter 2 of [CLN06] gives the variation of the Ricci curvature at initial time.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(-2\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)})|_{t=0} &= \Delta_L(h) + \operatorname{Hess}\operatorname{tr}(h) - \mathscr{L}_{\operatorname{div}(h)}(g) \\ &= \Delta_L(h) - \mathscr{L}_{\operatorname{div}(h)-\frac{1}{2}\nabla\operatorname{tr}(h)}(g), \quad \text{where} \\ & \quad h := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t)|_{t=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, in this case $h = \mathscr{L}_V(g)$, so,

$$\operatorname{div}(h) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\operatorname{tr}(h) = \Delta V + \operatorname{Ric}(V). \tag{16}$$

Using equation (15) satisfied by the vector field V and the soliton equation,

$$\operatorname{div}(h) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\operatorname{tr}(h) = \Delta V + \nabla_V X - \frac{V}{2} = [V, X].$$

We can conclude :

$$-2\,\mathscr{L}_V(\operatorname{Ric}) = \Delta_L(\mathscr{L}_V(g)) - \mathscr{L}_{[V,X]}(g).$$

With $2\operatorname{Ric} = \mathscr{L}_X(g) - g$, one has

$$-\,\mathscr{L}_V(\mathscr{L}_X(g) - g) = \Delta_L(\mathscr{L}_V(g)) - \mathscr{L}_{[V,X]}(g).$$

Hence,

$$\mathscr{L}_V(g) - \mathscr{L}_X(\mathscr{L}_V(g)) = \Delta_L(\mathscr{L}_V(g)).$$

□

Applying proposition 6.1 to the vector field X gives

Corollary 6.2. *The Lie derivative* $\mathscr{L}_X(g)$ *satisfies*

$$\mathscr{L}_X(g) - \mathscr{L}_X(\mathscr{L}_X(g)) = \Delta_L(\mathscr{L}_X(g)).$$

Proof. Indeed, the soliton equation and identity (16) imply,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta X + \nabla_X X - \frac{X}{2} &= \Delta X + \mathrm{Ric}(X)\\ &= \mathrm{div}(\mathscr{L}_X(g)) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\,\mathrm{tr}(\mathscr{L}_X(g))\\ &= 2\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{Ric} - \nabla R = 0,\end{aligned}$$

by the Bianchi identity. The result follows by invoking proposition 6.1.

□

6.0.2 Analysis of the Lichnerowicz equation

We begin with a priori estimates of solutions to the Lichnerowicz equation on an EGS with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature.

Proposition 6.3. *Let* $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ *be a complete EGS with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature. Let* h *be a symmetric* 2*-tensor solution to the static Lichnerowicz equation*

$$h - \mathscr{L}_X(h) = \Delta_L h. \tag{17}$$

Then, for any $t > \min_{M^n} f$*,*

$$\sup_{M_{\leq t}} |h| \leq (1 + 2\sup_{M_t} R)\sup_{M_t} |h|.$$

Proof. Note first that if h is a symmetric 2-tensor satisfying equation (17) then the 2-tensor $h(\tau) := (1+\tau)\psi_\tau^* h$, where $(\psi_\tau)_\tau$ is the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by $-X/(1+\tau)$, is solution to the dynamical Lichnerowicz equation : $\partial_\tau h = \Delta_L h$. Moreover, $h = \mathscr{L}_X(g)$ is a solution to equation (17) by corollary 6.2.

Fix $t > \min_{M^n} f$. Define $\lambda_t := \sup\{\lambda / h_\lambda := h - \lambda\mathscr{L}_X(g)|_{M_{\leq t}} \geq 0\}$. Since f is proper, $M_{\leq t}$ is compact. Therefore λ_t is finite and there exists a point $x \in M_{\leq t}$ and a unitary vector $v \in T_x M$ such that $h_{\lambda_t}(x)(v,v) = 0$.

1) If $x \in M_t$ then $h_{\lambda_t}(x)(v,v) = 0 = h(x)(v,v) - \lambda_t(2\,\mathrm{Ric}(x)(v,v)+1)$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}|\lambda_t| &\leq \frac{\sup_{M_t}|h|}{1 + 2\inf_{M_t}\mathrm{Ric}},\\ h &\geq -\frac{\sup_{M_t}|h|}{1+2\inf_{M_t}\mathrm{Ric}}\mathscr{L}_X(g) \geq -\frac{1+2\sup_{M_t}\mathrm{Ric}}{1+2\inf_{M_t}\mathrm{Ric}}\sup_{M_t}|h| g\\ &\geq -(1+2\sup_{M_t} R)\sup_{M_t}|h| g \quad \text{on } M_{\leq t}.\end{aligned}$$

2) If $x \in M_{<t}$, there exists a neighbourhood U_x of x and a time $T_x > 0$ such that $(U_x, g(t))_{t\in[-T_x,T_x]} \subset (M_{<t}, g)$, so that on $(U_x, g(t))_{t\in[-T_x,T_x]}$, $h_{\lambda_t} \geq 0$. Since $K \geq 0$ and $\partial_\tau h_{\lambda_t} = \Delta_L h_{\lambda_t}$, we can use a local version of Hamilton's maximum principle for system [Theorem 12.50, [CCG$^+$08]] to establish that $\ker h_{\lambda_t}$ is a smooth distribution invariant by parallel translation. As we assume $\mathrm{Ric} > 0$, the manifold cannot split. In particular, $h_{\lambda_t} \equiv 0$ on U_x. As $M_{<t}$ is totally geodesic, $h_{\lambda_t} \equiv 0$ on $M_{<t}$. In particular, for any $s < t$,

$$|\lambda_t| \leq \frac{\sup_{M_s}|h|}{1 + 2\inf_{M_s}\mathrm{Ric}} \leq \sup_{M_s}|h|.$$

If s tends to t, one has $|\lambda_t| \leq \sup_{M_t}|h|$, therefore,

$$h \geq -(1 + 2\sup_{M_t} R)\sup_{M_t}|h| g \quad \text{on } M_{\leq t}.$$

The same reasoning as above applied to $-h$ gives the estimate.

□

We are in a position to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $(M^n, g, \nabla f)$ be a complete EGS such that $K \geq 0$, $\mathrm{A}^k(g) < +\infty$ for any k. Assume the asymptotic cone is isometric to $(C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), dt^2 + (ct)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$, with angle $c \in (0,1)$. Let h be a symmetric 2-tensor solution to the static Lichnerowicz equation with linear growth, i.e.*

$$h - \mathscr{L}_X(h) = \Delta_L h, \quad \sup_{M_{\leq t}}|h| = O(t). \tag{18}$$

Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $h = \lambda\,\mathscr{L}_X(g)$.

Remark 6.5. *The proof of theorem 6.4 only uses the fact that the section of the asymptotic cone has positive Ricci curvature. Moreover, theorem 6.4 is still true if one only assumes that h has polynomial growth.*

Proof. Consider as in [Bre12],

$$A(t) := \inf_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}} \sup_{M_{t^2/4}} |h - \lambda\,\mathscr{L}_X(g)|.$$

If there exists a sequence $(t_m)_m$ tending to $+\infty$ such that $A(t_m) = 0$ for any m then there exists a sequence $(\lambda_m)_m$ such that $h - \lambda_m\,\mathscr{L}_X(g) = 0$ on $M_{t_m^2/4}$ hence on $M_{\leq t_m^2/4}$ by proposition 6.3 applied to the solution $h - \lambda_m\,\mathscr{L}_X(g)$. As $\mathscr{L}_X(g)$ is invertible, the sequence $(\lambda_m)_m$ is constant and the result is proved.

Assume on the contrary that $A(t) > 0$ for any t large enough. By linear growth, $A(t) = O(t^2)$. Consequently, if $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\tau \in (0,1)$, there exists a sequence $(t_m)_m$ tending to $+\infty$ such that for any m,

$$A(\tau t_m) \geq (\alpha\tau^2)A(t_m).$$

Fix α and τ in $(0,1)$ such that $\alpha\tau^2 > 1/\sqrt{2}$. $(*)$

Consider now the sequence of symmetric 2-tensors which are solution to the Lichnerowicz equation,

$$h^m := \frac{h - \lambda_m \mathscr{L}_X(g)}{A(t_m)},$$

where λ_m is chosen such that $A(t_m) = \sup_{M_{t_m^2/4}} |h - \lambda_m \mathscr{L}_X(g)|$. By proposition 6.3, h^m satisfies for any $t \leq t_m$,

$$\sup_{M_{t^2/4}} |h^m|_g \leq C(t_m) \sup_{M_{t_m^2/4}} |h^m|_g = C(t_m),$$

where $\lim_{t_m \to +\infty} C(t_m) = 1$.

Define then $g_m := t_m^{-2} g$ et $\bar{h}^m := t_m^{-2} h^m$. $\bar{h}^m$ verifies for $t \leq t_m$,

$$\sup_{M_{t^2/4}} |\bar{h}^m|_{g_m} \leq C(t_m), \quad \sup_{M_{t_m^2/4}} |\bar{h}^m|_{g_m} = 1,$$

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{M_{(\tau t_m)^2/4}} |\bar{h}^m - \lambda \mathscr{L}_X(g_m)|_{g_m} \geq \alpha\tau^2.$$

By assumption, $(M^n, g_m, p)_m$ smoothly converges to $(C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), dt^2 + (ct)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$ with angle $c \in (0,1)$. Moreover, h^m satisfies $h^m - \mathscr{L}_X(h^m) = \Delta_L h^m$. Now, using the soliton equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_X(h^m)(\cdot,\cdot) &= \nabla_X h^m(\cdot,\cdot) + h^m(\nabla.X,\cdot) + h^m(\cdot,\nabla.X) \\ &= \nabla_X h^m(\cdot,\cdot) + h^m(\mathrm{Ric}(\cdot),\cdot) + h^m(\cdot,\mathrm{Ric}(\cdot)) + h^m(\cdot,\cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$-\nabla_X h^m = \Delta_g h^m + \mathrm{Rm}(g) * h^m,$$

where $(\mathrm{Rm}(g) * h^m)_{ij} := 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g)_{iklj} h^m_{kl}$, we conclude that $\bar{h}^m$ satisfies

$$\Delta_{g_m} \bar{h}^m + t_m^2 \,\mathrm{Rm}(g) * \bar{h}^m = -t_m^2 \nabla_X \bar{h}^m. \tag{19}$$

As in the proof of theorem 5.3, we conclude that $\bar{h}^m$ converges to a symmetric 2-tensor h_∞ defined on the asymptotic cone $(C_\infty, g_\infty) := (C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), dt^2 + (ct)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$ satisfying

$$\sup_{C_{\infty,1}} |h_\infty|_{g_\infty} = 1, \quad \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{C_{\infty,\tau^2}} |h_\infty - \lambda \mathscr{L}_{r\partial_r/2}(g_\infty)|_{g_\infty} \geq \alpha\tau^2,$$

where $C_{\infty,r}$ means the section of the asymptotic cone of height r. Since $\mathscr{L}_{r\partial_r/2}(g_\infty) = g_\infty$, one has

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{C_{\infty,\tau^2}} |h_\infty - \lambda g_\infty|_{g_\infty} \geq \alpha\tau^2.$$

Taking the limit in equation (19) gives

$$\nabla_{r\partial_r/2} h_\infty = 0, \quad \Delta_{g_\infty} h_\infty + \mathrm{Rm}(g_\infty) * h_\infty = 0. \tag{20}$$

As h_∞ is parallel along the radial vector field ∂_r, we can decompose h_∞ as

$$h_\infty = h_1 + h_2 \otimes dr + dr \otimes h_2 + h_3 dr \otimes dr,$$

where h_1 is a symmetric 2-tensor on $C_{\infty,1}$, h_2 is a 1-form defined on $C_{\infty,1}$ and h_3 is a fonction on $C_{\infty,1}$. Now, $C_{\infty,1}$ is isometric to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of radius c and

$$(\mathrm{Rm}(g_\infty) * h_\infty)_{ij} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cl} 0 & \text{if } i = \partial_r \text{ or } j = \partial_r \\ 1/c^2 - 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Therefore, projecting equation 20 gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_{c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}} h_1 + 2\left(\frac{1}{c^2} - 1\right)(\operatorname{tr} h_1)(c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}) = 0, \\ &\Delta_{c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}} h_2 = 0, \\ &\Delta_{c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}} h_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, h_3 is a constant function.

Claim 4. $h_2 = 0$

Proof. As h_2 is harmonic on a compact manifold, it is parallel. Indeed, if we denote $\Delta := \Delta_{c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta |h_2|^2 &= 2\left(|\nabla h_2|^2 + \langle \Delta h_2, h_2 \rangle\right) \\ &= 2|\nabla h_2|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating on $C_{\infty,1}$, Stokes theorem gives the result. In particular, h_2 is a closed 1-form. Therefore,

$$\Delta_d h_2 = dd^* h_2 = -\Delta h_2 + \mathrm{Ric}_{c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}}(h_2) = \mathrm{Ric}_{c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}}(h_2),$$

where Δ_d is the Hodge Laplacian. Integrating by part provides

$$\int_{C_{\infty,1}} \mathrm{Ric}_{c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}}(h_2, h_2) = \int_{C_{\infty,1}} \langle h_2, dd^* h_2 \rangle = -\int_{C_{\infty,1}} |d^* h_2|^2.$$

As $c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ has positive Ricci curvature, we conclude that $h_2 = 0$.

□

Claim 5. $h_1 = 0$

Proof. The traceless part of h_1 satisfies $\Delta_{c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}} \overset{\circ}{h}_1 = 0$. Hence, $\overset{\circ}{h}_1$ is parallel. As $C_{\infty,1}$ is irreducible, $\overset{\circ}{h}_1 = 0$. Consequently,

$$h_\infty = h_3 dr \otimes dr + \frac{\operatorname{tr} h_1}{n-1}(c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}).$$

Since, $\nabla_{\partial_r} h_\infty = 0$,

$$\int_{C_{\infty,a,b}} \Delta_{g_\infty} |h_\infty|^2 = 0,$$

where $C_{\infty,a,b}$ is the slice of the asymptotic cone between the heights a and b, for $0 < a < b \leq 1$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{C_{\infty,a,b}} \langle \Delta_{g_\infty} h_\infty, h_\infty \rangle + |\nabla h_\infty|^2 && (21) \\ &= \int_{C_{\infty,a,b}} -\langle \mathrm{Rm}(g_\infty) * h_\infty, h_\infty \rangle + |\nabla h_\infty|^2. && (22) \end{aligned}$$

Now, on $C_{\infty,r}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathrm{Rm}(g_\infty) * h_\infty, h_\infty \rangle = \mathrm{Rm}(g_\infty)_{iklj} h_{\infty,kl} h_{\infty,ij} \\ &= \sum_{i \neq \partial_r, k \neq \partial_r} \mathrm{Rm}(g_\infty)_{ikki} h_{\infty,kk} h_{\infty,ii} \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} - 1 \right) \left[(\operatorname{tr} h_\infty - h_\infty(\partial_r, \partial_r))^2 - (|h_\infty|^2 - (h_\infty(\partial_r, \partial_r))^2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \mathrm{Rm}(g_\infty) * h_\infty, h_\infty \rangle = 0. \tag{23}$$

(22) and (23) show that h_∞ is parallel so that $\operatorname{tr} h_1$ is constant and verifies $\Delta_{c^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}} \operatorname{tr} h_1 + 2\left(\frac{1}{c^2} - 1\right)(\operatorname{tr} h_1)(n-1) = 0$ which is possible only if $\operatorname{tr} h_1 = 0$.

□

Finally, $h_\infty = h_3 dr \otimes dr$ where h_3 is a constant satisfying $\sup_{C_{\infty,1}} |h_\infty| = |h_3| = 1$. In conclusion,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{C_{\infty,\tau^2}} |h_\infty - \lambda g_\infty|_{g_\infty} &= \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt{(h_3 - \lambda)^2 + \lambda^2} \\ &= \frac{|h_3|}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \geq \alpha \tau^2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption $(*)$.

□

7 Conclusion

Proof of theorem 1.3. We apply theorem 5.3 to $Q_i := \Delta U_i + \nabla_X U_i - U_i/2$ where U_i is given by proposition 4.4. Therefore, there exists a vector field V_i such that

$$\Delta U_i + \nabla_X U_i - \frac{U_i}{2} = \Delta V_i + \nabla_X V_i - \frac{V_i}{2}, \quad V_i = O(t^\epsilon).$$

Consequently, the vector field $W_i := U_i - V_i$ satisfies $\Delta W_i + \nabla_X W_i - W_i/2 = 0$. On the one hand, the growth of V_i and the estimate (11) imply

$$\int_{M_{t^2/4}} \langle W_i, W_j \rangle d\mu_{t^2/4} = n\,\mathrm{AVR}(g) t^{n+1}\delta_{ij} + O(t^{n-1}).$$

On the other hand, if we define $W_i(\tau) := \psi_\tau^* W_i$ where ψ_τ is the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by $-X/(1+\tau)$ for $\tau \in (-1, +\infty)$, $W_i(\tau)$ satisfies the following heat equation :

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\tau W_i(\tau) &= -\mathscr{L}_{X/(1+\tau)} W_i(\tau) = -\frac{1}{1+\tau}[X, W_i(\tau)] \\
&= -\frac{1}{1+\tau}\left(\nabla_X W_i(\tau) - \mathrm{Ric}_g(W_i(\tau)) - \frac{W_i(\tau)}{2}\right) \\
&= \Delta_{g(\tau)} W_i(\tau) + \mathrm{Ric}_{g(\tau)}(W_i(\tau)).
\end{aligned}$$

Classical estimates for parabolic equations give the following :

$$\sup_{M_{t^2/4}} |\nabla W_i(0)|_{g(0)} \leq C \sup_{\tau\in[-1/2,0]} \sup_{M_{t^2/4-t, t^2/4+t}} |W_i(\tau)|_{g(\tau)}.$$

Definition of W_i, (ii) of proposition 4.4 and theorem 5.3 imply that the growth of covariant derivatives ∇W_i is linear.

Now, $h_i := \mathscr{L}_{W_i}(g)$ is solution to equation (17). Therefore, by theorem 6.4, there exist real numbers λ_i such that $h_i = \lambda_i \mathscr{L}_X(g)$, i.e. $\mathscr{L}_{W_i}(g) = \lambda_i \mathscr{L}_X(g)$. To sum it up, we have built $n(n-1)/2$ Killing vector fields $\hat{W}_i := \lambda_i X - W_i$. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{W}_i, X] &= \nabla_{\hat{W}_i} X - \nabla_X \hat{W}_i = \mathrm{Ric}(\hat{W}_i) + \frac{\hat{W}_i}{2} - \nabla_X \hat{W}_i \\
&= \frac{\hat{W}_i}{2} - \Delta \hat{W}_i - \nabla_X \hat{W}_i = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we check that these vector fields are orthogonal to X by using $[\hat{W}_i, X] = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
2\,\mathrm{Hess}\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle &= 2\,\mathrm{Hess}\,\mathscr{L}_{\hat{W}_i}(f) = 2\,\mathscr{L}_{\hat{W}_i}(\mathrm{Hess}\, f) \\
&= \mathscr{L}_{\hat{W}_i}(\mathscr{L}_X(g)) = \mathscr{L}_X(\mathscr{L}_{\hat{W}_i}(g)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, functions $\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle$ have a gradient of constant norm. Via Bochner formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \Delta\left(\frac{|\nabla\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle|^2}{2}\right) = |\,\mathrm{Hess}\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle|^2 + \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle, \nabla\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle) \\
&\quad + \langle \nabla\Delta\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle, \nabla\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle\rangle \\
&= \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle, \nabla\langle \hat{W}_i, X\rangle) > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

except if $\nabla\langle\hat{W}_i, X\rangle = 0$. So, functions $\langle\hat{W}_i, X\rangle$ are constant and therefore vanish since $X = 0$ at the point where f attains its minimum. Moreover, these $n(n-1)/2$ Killing vector fields orthogonal to X satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{M_{t^2/4}}\langle\hat{W}_i,\hat{W}_j\rangle d\mu_{t^2/4} &= \int_{M_{t^2/4}}\langle W_i,W_j\rangle d\mu_{t^2/4} - \lambda_i\int_{M_{t^2/4}}\langle X,W_j\rangle d\mu_{t^2/4}\\ &= n\,\mathrm{AVR}(g)t^{n+1}\delta_{ij} + O(t^{n+\epsilon}).\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.

□

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Laurent Bessières and Ovidiu Munteanu for helpful discussions and remarks. The author is grateful to Gilles Carron for pointing out an error in a first version.

References

[Aub81] Thierry Aubin. Inégalités d'interpolation. *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)*, 105(3):229–234, 1981.

[BKN89] Shigetoshi Bando, Atsushi Kasue, and Hiraku Nakajima. On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth. *Invent. Math.*, 97(2):313–349, 1989.

[Bre12] S. Brendle. Rotational symmetry of self-similar solutions to the Ricci flow. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2012.

[Cao97] Huai-Dong Cao. Limits of solutions to the Kähler-Ricci flow. *J. Differential Geom.*, 45(2):257–272, 1997.

[CCG+07] Bennett Chow, Sun-Chin Chu, David Glickenstein, Christine Guenther, James Isenberg, Tom Ivey, Dan Knopf, Peng Lu, Feng Luo, and Lei Ni. *The Ricci flow: techniques and applications. Part I*, volume 135 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. Geometric aspects.

[CCG+08] Bennett Chow, Sun-Chin Chu, David Glickenstein, Christine Guenther, James Isenberg, Tom Ivey, Dan Knopf, Peng Lu, Feng Luo, and Lei Ni. *The Ricci flow: techniques and applications. Part II*, volume 144 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. Analytic aspects.

[CD11] C.-W. Chen and A. Deruelle. Structure at infinity of expanding gradient Ricci soliton. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2011.

[Cho13] O. Chodosh. Expanding Ricci Solitons Asymptotic to Cones. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2013.

[CLN06] Bennett Chow, Peng Lu, and Lei Ni. *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, volume 77 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

[Hör03] Lars Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis, Reprint of the second (1990) edition [Springer, Berlin; MR1065993 (91m:35001a)].

[Pet06] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2006.

[PW10] Peter Petersen and William Wylie. On the classification of gradient Ricci solitons. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(4):2277–2300, 2010.

[SS10] F. Schulze and M. Simon. Expanding solitons with non-negative curvature operator coming out of cones. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2010.

[Zha08] Z.-H. Zhang. On the Completeness of Gradient Ricci Solitons. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2008.